

Oleogel Containing Beeswax and Monoglycerides as a Potential Substitute for Confectionery Palm Fats

Szabolcs Homolya^a, Bianka Stella Tóth^a, Katalin Badak Kerti^a, Tímea Kaszab^b, Eszter Vozáry^b Department of Grain and Industrial Plant Processing, ^b Department of Food Measurement and Process Control, Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Institute of Food Science and Technology, Budapest szabolcs.homolya@gmail.com

Introduction

Our research was focused on the creation of a low-saturated oleogel that could serve as a viable alternative to the specialty fat "PMF – Arriba Tropic, commonly used in chocolate fillings. Oleogelation is a process in which liquid oil with a gelator – a structuring agent - takes on a such semi-solid structure like the easily spreadable fats and this three-dimensional network structure encloses the liquid oil (Terech & Weiss, 1997; Toro-Vazquez et al., 2007; Davidovich-Pinhas, 2018). Our samples were formulated using monoglycerides (MAG), beeswax (BW), and highly unsaturated high oleic sunflower oil (HOSO). Our objective was to develop a range of mixtures with varying proportions that could be used to partially substitute the specialty fat. The concentration of the gelling agent was 5, 7 and 9 (weight) %. The beeswax:monoglyceride ratio was 1:0, 1:3, 1:1, 3:1 and 0:1.

	OURNOC

In flow curves measured with Anton-Paar

Windhab tau0

Windhab ŋ∞

Herschel-Bulkley model



MCR 3O2 oscillation rheometer in rotation method with cone-plate geometry up to 1OO 1/s shear rate the shear stress values for the oleogels were much lower than the shear stress values of the reference fat. The shear stress values increased with increasing concentrations of gelling agents. As the viscosity values decreased with increasing shear rate, the tested materials exhibited pseudoplastic behaviour. The highest yield stress (τ O) of the mixed gelators was achieved with the 3:1 BW - MAG mixture, probably due to the formation of mixture crystals.





Herschel-Bulkley and Windhab models were fitted to the flow curves. At low and high shear rates, the Windhab model gave a better approximation than the Herschel-Bulkley model. The "n" flow index values were less than 1 for all the samples, i.e. the materials had pseudoplastic properties.



Amplitude sweep

For all samples measured with Anton-Paar oscillation rheometer in oscillation mode,

Average (Pa)SD (Pa)SD (Pa)Average (Pa)SD (Pa)5%_MAG100%141.0852.057680737% 25%_MAG - 75% BW59.6561.28190997%_MAG100%282.65526.36801197% 50%_MAG - 50% BW51.3171.248151839%_MAG100%756.1266733.48511667% 75%_MAG - 25% BW37.5396672.665612947%_BW100%99.6363338.08285679% 25%_MAG - 75% BW174.7866744.8458437%_BW100%118.595745.65330449% 50%_MAG - 50% BW107.121.099%_BW100%601.7524.73136479% 75%_MAG - 25% BW130.973331.575573975% 25%_MAG - 50% BW21.9323.5247307PMF8570.6667747.571955% 50%_MAG - 25% BW28.792331.774554691.1.1.		G' = G''			G' = G''	
5%_MAG100% 141.085 2.05768073 7% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 59.656 1.2819099 7%_MAG100% 282.655 26.3680119 7% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 51.317 1.24815183 9%_MAG100% 756.12667 33.4851166 7% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 37.539667 2.66561294 5%_BW100% 39.63633 8.0828567 9% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 174.78667 44.845843 7%_BW100% 118.59567 45.6533044 9% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 107.12 1.09 9%_BW100% 601.75 24.7313647 9% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 130.973333 1.57557397 5% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 21.932 3.52473077 PMF 8570.66667 747.571952 5% 25%_MAG - 25% BW 20.785667 0.3595919 Icenter to the sected by the sected		Average (Pa)	SD (Pa)		Average (Pa)	SD (Pa)
7%_MAG100% 282.655 26.3680119 7% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 51.317 1.24815183 9%_MAG100% 756.12667 33.4851166 7% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 37.5396667 2.66561294 5%_BW100% 39.636333 8.0828567 9% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 174.786667 44.845843 7%_BW100% 118.59567 45.6533044 9% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 107.12 1.09 9%_BW100% 601.75 24.7313647 9% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 130.973333 1.57557397 5% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 21.932 3.52473077 PMF 8570.66667 747.571952 5% 50%_MAG - 25% BW 20.785667 0.3595919 Icm Icm Icm Icm 5% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 28.792333 1.77455469 Icm Icm Icm Icm	5%_MAG100%	141.085	2.05768073	7% 25%_MAG - 75% BW	59.656	1.2819099
9%_MAG100% 756.12667 33.4851166 7% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 37.5396667 2.66561294 5%_BW100% 39.636333 8.08285657 9% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 174.786667 44.845843 7%_BW100% 118.59567 45.6533044 9% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 107.12 1.09 9%_BW100% 601.75 24.7313647 9% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 130.973333 1.57557397 5% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 21.932 3.52473077 PMF 8570.66667 747.571952 5% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 20.785667 0.3595919 Image: Comparison of the compariso	7%_MAG100%	282.655	26.3680119	7% 50%_MAG - 50% BW	51.317	1.24815183
Image: Marking Constraint of the symbol o	9%_MAG100%	756.12667	33.4851166	7% 75%_MAG - 25% BW	37.5396667	2.66561294
5%_BW100% 39.636333 8.08285657 9% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 174.786667 44.845843 7%_BW100% 118.59567 45.6533044 9% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 107.12 1.09 9%_BW100% 601.75 24.7313647 9% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 130.973333 1.57557397 5% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 21.932 3.52473077 PMF 8570.66667 747.571952 5% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 20.785667 0.3595919 Interference Interference Interference 5% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 28.792333 1.77455469 Interference Interference Interference						
7%_BW100% 118.59567 45.6533044 9% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 107.12 1.09 9%_BW100% 601.75 24.7313647 9% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 130.973333 1.57557397 5% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 21.932 3.52473077 PMF 8570.66667 747.571952 5% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 20.785667 0.3595919 Interference Interference Interference 5% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 28.792333 1.77455469 Interference Interference Interference	5%_BW100%	39.636333	8.08285657	9% 25%_MAG - 75% BW	174.786667	44.845843
9%_BW100% 601.75 24.7313647 9% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 130.973333 1.57557397 5% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 21.932 3.52473077 PMF 8570.66667 747.571952 5% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 20.785667 0.3595919 - - - - 5% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 28.792333 1.77455469 - - - -	7%_BW100%	118.59567	45.6533044	9% 50%_MAG - 50% BW	107.12	1.09
MAG - 75% BW 21.932 3.52473077 PMF 8570.6667 747.571952 5% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 20.785667 0.3595919 - <	9%_BW100%	601.75	24.7313647	9% 75%_MAG - 25% BW	130.973333	1.57557397
5% 25%_MAG - 75% BW 21.932 3.52473077 PMF 8570.6667 747.571952 5% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 20.785667 0.3595919 Image: Comparison of the compa						
5% 50%_MAG - 50% BW 20.785667 0.3595919 5% 75%_MAG - 25% BW 28.792333 1.77455469	5% 25%_MAG - 75% BW	21.932	3.52473077	PMF	8570.66667	747.571952
5%75%_MAG-25%BW 28.792333 1.77455469	5% 50%_MAG - 50% BW	20.785667	0.3595919			
	5% 75%_MAG - 25% BW	28.792333	1.77455469			

5% MAG 100%



Typical amplitude sweep curve

G' was greater than G" at low shear, i.e. all samples showed elastic properties at low shear strain and all samples had yield points. The PMF fat had the highest G' and G", which were significantly different from the G' and G" of all oleogels. As the concentration of the gelling agent increased, so did the modulus values.

Frequency sweep



Frequency sweep was performed at a shear deformation of 0.01% (in the linear viscoelastic range). The frequency sweep curves showed a slight increase in the moduli G' and G" as a function of frequency for oleogels containing one and two types of gelling agents, respectively. This may suggest that our oleogel samples can be stable over relatively long periods of time. The

values of the oleogel curves were very low compared to the "Ariba tropic" fat, so it was not possible to plot all the samples in one figure.

References

Terech, P., & Weiss, R. G. (1997). Low Molecular Mass Gelators of Organic Liquids and the Properties of Their Gels. Chemical Reviews, 97(8): 3133–3160. Toro-Vazquez, J. F., Morales-Rueda, J. A., Dibildox-Alvarado, E., Charó-Alonso, M., Alonzo-Macias, M., & González-Chávez, M. M. (2007). Thermal and Textural Properties of Organogels Developed by Candelilla Wax in Safflower Oil. Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society, 84(11): 989–1000. Davidovich-Pinhas, M. (2018). Oleogels. Polymeric Gels, 231–249.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Hungarian Government through project No. KDP-2023 and by the Doctoral School of Food Science

